

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	- FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/075,845	02/13/2002	Anna Ericsson	CT2605 NP	9030	
23914 7590 12/23/2003 STEPHEN B. DAVIS BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT P O BOX 4000 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-4000			EXAMINER ZUCKER, PAUL A		
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· .						
•		Application I	٧.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/075,845	1	ERICSSON ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Paul A. Zucke	Paul A. Zucker 1621				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the co	ver sheet with th	correspondence address			
THE - External after of the control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication be period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, h t. a reply within the statutory priod will apply and will exp tatute, cause the applicati	nowever, may a reply be minimum of thirty (30) d pire SIX (6) MONTHS fro on to become ABANDON	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	4 October 2003.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-f	înal.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposit	tion of Claims	•					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,6-9 and 13-20 is	s/are withdrawn fr	om consideration	1.			
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and	or election require	ement.				
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the	e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rrection is required it	f the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note t	the attached Offic	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore		-	(a)-(d) or (f).			
* ;	 Certified copies of the priority docum Certified copies of the priority docum Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a 	ents have been re priority documents reau (PCT Rule 17	eceived in Applica have been recei 7.2(a)).	ved in this National Stage			
13)⊠ / s 3	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the B7 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language	estic priority unde e first sentence of	r 35 U.S.C. § 119 the specification	P(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet.			
14) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome eference was included in the first sentence of	estic priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	20 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachmer	nt(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5)		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/075,845

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Current Status

- 1. This action is responsive to Applicants' Response of 14 October 2003 in Paper No 6.
- 2. Receipt and entry of Applicants' Response is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are pending.
- 4. Claims 4, 6-9 and 13-20 are held withdrawn from consideration
 The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-3 and 5 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akasaki et al (US 5,075,487 12-1991).

Instantly claimed are compounds of formula I:

where the variable groups are as defined in claim 1.

Akasaki teaches (Column 1, line 10- column 2, line 4) fluorene derivatives which have useful properties as electron transport materials for use in multilayered electrophotographic photoreceptors. Akasaki exemplifies (Column 7, line 29 – Column 8, line 16, Example 3) three compounds which correspond to isomers of compounds of the invention in which Linker = C_2 alkenyl, $Z = C_4H_9$, $R_{a,b} = H$, $X = C_4H_9$, C_4H_9 , C_5

Application/Control Number: 10/075,845

Art Unit: 1621

 $C(R_1)_2$ or CO, R_1 = H. These compounds are intermediates in the synthesis of the electron transport materials.

The compounds exemplified by Akasaki differ from those instantly claimed only in that they are isomeric in the attachment of the linker to the aromatic ring.

Akasaki, however, further generically teaches (Column 1, line 56 – Column 2, line 4) that the final product in all its isomeric forms has utility as an electron transport material.

One of ordinary skill in the art would therefore have been motivated to make the instantly claimed isomers by the expectation that they could be used to produce the corresponding isomeric electron transport materials. There would have been a reasonable expectation of success based upon the expectation of similar properties for isomers about an aromatic ring. This expectation would have been reinforced by Akalksaki's generic teaching. The instantly claimed compounds would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Examiner's Response to Applicants' Remarks with Regard to this Rejection

6. Applicants have argued that the disclosure of Akasaki does not constitute analogous art since Akasaki teaches only their use in the synthesis of electrophotographic photoreceptors and does not suggest their utility in cancer treatment. The Examiner responds by pointing out that the ultimate utility of the compounds is not at issue, only whether one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the instantly claimed compounds. The fact that Applicants have recognized another

A LIL II 4004

Art Unit: 1621

advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

Claim Objections

7. Claims 10-12 are finally objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Claims 1-20 are pending. Claims 1-3 and 5 are finally rejected. Claims 10-12 are finally objected to. Claims 4, 6-9 and 13-20 are finally withdrawn from consideration.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

This application contains claims 4, 6-9 and 13-20 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 5. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A. Zucker whose telephone number is 703-306-0512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann R. Richter can be reached on 703-308-4532. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Paul A. Zucker, Ph. D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

Johann Richter, Ph.D., Esq. Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600